

# FROBENIUS AMPLITUDE AND VANISHING ON SINGULAR SPACES

DONU ARAPURA

When  $X$  is a singular complex algebraic variety, Du Bois [Du] defined a complex of sheaves  $\underline{\Omega}_X^j$  which plays the role of the sheaf of regular  $j$ -forms on a nonsingular variety. For example, if  $X$  is a projective variety, then  $H^i(X, \mathbb{C})$  decomposes into a sum  $\oplus H^{i-j}(X, \underline{\Omega}_X^j)$  refining the classical Hodge decomposition. Our goal is to prove a general vanishing theorem that for any complex of locally free sheaves on a singular projective variety  $H^i(X, \underline{\Omega}_X^j \otimes \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$  for  $i + j \geq \dim X + \phi(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ , where the Frobenius amplitude  $\phi(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$  refines the invariant introduced in [A1]. When combined with the bounds on  $\phi$  given in [A1, A2], we recover generalizations of the Akizuki-Kodaira-Nakano vanishing theorem due to Le Potier, Navarro Aznar and others. The vanishing theorem is deduced from an extension of the Deligne-Illusie decomposition [DI] to Du Bois' complex. This also leads to another proof of the Hodge decomposition in the singular case.

In the first couple of sections, we re-examine the definition of Frobenius amplitude. It is most natural over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , and we do not change anything here. In our earlier work, we extended the notion into characteristic 0 by essentially taking the supremum of  $\phi$  over all but finitely many mod  $p$  reductions. In this paper, we relax the definition by replacing “all but finitely many reductions” by “a large set of reductions”. The result is potentially smaller (i.e. better) than before. The precise definition depends on making a suitable choice of what a large set of primes should mean. For the choice to be suitable, we require that the collection of large sets forms a filter which is non principal in the appropriate sense. We can then recast the definition of Frobenius amplitude in terms of ultraproducts with respect to ultrafilters containing this filter. Since the use of ultraproducts is not that common in algebraic geometry, we include a brief EGA-style treatment of them. We should point out that this discussion is not strictly necessary for the main result. Readers who prefer to do so can jump to the final section and substitute the original definition for  $\phi$  whenever it occurs.

## 1. ULTRAPRODUCTS OF SCHEMES

Recall that a filter on a set  $S$  is a collection of nonempty subsets of  $S$  which is closed under finite intersections and supersets. A property will be said to hold for *almost all*  $s \in S$ , with respect to a fixed filter  $\mathcal{F}$ , if the set of  $s$  for which it holds lies in  $\mathcal{F}$ . An ultrafilter is a filter which is maximal with respect to inclusion. Equivalently, an ultrafilter is a filter  $\mathcal{U}$  such that for any  $T \subseteq S$  either  $T \in \mathcal{U}$  or  $S - T \in \mathcal{U}$ . For example, the set of all subsets containing a fixed  $s \in S$  is an ultrafilter. Such examples, called principal ultrafilters, are not particularly interesting. If  $S$  is

---

Author partially supported by the NSF.

infinite the set of cofinite subsets (complements of finite sets) forms a non principal filter. By Zorn's lemma, this can be extended to a non principal ultrafilter.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a filter on  $S$ . Given a collection of abelian groups (respectively commutative rings)  $A_s$  indexed by  $S$ , the set  $I_{\mathcal{U}} \subset \prod_s A_s$  of elements which are zero for almost all  $s$  forms a subgroup (respectively ideal). The quotient  $\prod A_s / \mathcal{U} = \prod A_s / I_{\mathcal{U}}$  is their *filter product*. (This is commonly referred to as the reduced product, but this would be too confusing when applied to commutative rings and schemes.) The filter product is called an *ultraproduct* when  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter.

**Proposition 1.1.** *If each  $A_s$  is a field then any maximal ideal is given by  $I_{\mathcal{U}}$  for some ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $S$ . All prime are maximal. Suppose that  $P$  is a property expressible by a set of first order sentences in the language of fields (for example that the field is algebraically closed or has characteristic  $= n$ ). If  $P$  is satisfied in  $A_s$  for almost all  $s$ , then  $P$  is satisfied in  $\prod A_s / \mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $f \in \prod A_s$ , let  $z(f) = \{s \mid f_s = 0\}$ . One has  $z(fg) = z(f) \cup z(g)$  and  $z(\alpha f + \beta g + \gamma fg) = z(f) \cap z(g)$  for appropriate coefficients depending on  $f$  and  $g$ . From this, it follows that for any ideal  $I \subset \prod A_s$ ,  $F = z(I)$  is a filter. One can also check that if  $F$  is a filter, then  $I_F = \{f \mid z(f) \in F\}$  is an ideal, such that  $z(I_F) = F$  and  $I_{z(I)} = I$ . Therefore, we obtain an order preserving bijection between the sets of ideals and filters. This proves the first statement, Suppose that  $F$  is a filter which is not an ultrafilter. Then there exists a subset  $T \subset S$  such that  $T, S - T \in F$  [BS, chap I, lemma 3.1] Let  $\tau$  be the characteristic function of  $T$

$$\tau_s = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \in T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then it follows that  $\tau, 1 - \tau \in I_F$ . As  $\tau(1 - \tau) = 0$ ,  $I_F$  is not prime. This implies that prime ideals necessarily arise from ultrafilters. The last statement is a special case of Los's theorem in model theory [BS, chap 5§2].  $\square$

Filter products can be taken for other structures. For example  $\prod \mathbb{N} / \mathcal{U}$  will inherit the structure of a partially ordered commutative semiring. By Los's theorem, this satisfies the first order Peano axioms if  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter. In particular, it is totally ordered. Under the diagonal embedding,  $\mathbb{N}$  gets identified with an initial segment of  $\prod \mathbb{N} / \mathcal{U}$ . The elements of the complement can be thought of as infinitely large nonstandard numbers.

Given a collection of affine schemes  $\text{Spec } A_i$ ,  $\text{Spec}(\prod_i A_i)$  is their coproduct in the category of affine schemes, although *not* in the category of schemes unless  $I$  is finite. This is already clear when  $A_i$  are all fields,  $\prod_i \text{Spec } A_i = I$  while  $\text{Spec}(\prod_i A_i)$  is the set of ultrafilters on  $I$  by the previous proposition. In fact, as a space,  $\text{Spec}(\prod_i A_i)$  is the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $I$ . This is a very strange scheme from the usual viewpoint (it is not noetherian...), but this is precisely the sort of construction we need. So it will be convenient to extend this to the category of all separated schemes.

**Proposition 1.2.** *There is a functor  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I} \mapsto \bigvee_i X_i$  from the category of  $I$ -tuples of separated schemes to the category separated schemes, such that  $\bigvee_i \text{Spec } A_i \cong \text{Spec}(\prod_i A_i)$ . Moreover, there are canonical morphisms  $X_i \rightarrow \bigvee X_i$  induced by projection  $\prod A_i \rightarrow A_i$  for affine schemes. Given a collection of (quasi)coherent sheaves  $\mathcal{F}_i$  on  $X_i$ , we have a (quasi)coherent sheaves  $\bigvee_i \mathcal{F}_i$  on  $\bigvee_i X_i$  which restricts to  $\mathcal{F}_i$  on each component  $X_i$ .*

*Proof.* Choose affine open covers  $\{U_{ij} = \text{Spec } A_{ij}\}_{j \in J_i}$  for each  $X_i$ . After replacing each  $J_i$  by the maximum of the cardinalities of  $J_i$  and then allowing repetitions  $U_{ij_\alpha} = U_{ij_{\alpha+1}} = \dots$  if necessary, we can assume that  $J_i = J$  is independent of  $i$ . Then  $\bigvee_i X_i$  is obtained by gluing  $\text{Spec}(\prod_i A_{ij})$  together. A refinement of the open cover  $\{U_{ij}\}$  can be seen yield an isomorphic scheme. So the construction does not depend on this. The projections  $\text{Spec}(\prod_i A_{ij}) \rightarrow A_{ij}$  patch to yield canonical maps  $X_i \rightarrow \bigvee X_i$ .

Finally, given  $\mathcal{F}_i = \widetilde{M_{ij}}$  on  $\text{Spec } A_{ij}$  we construct  $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{\prod M_{ij}}$  on the above cover, and then patch.  $\square$

We refer to  $\bigvee X_i$  as the affine coproduct. Of course, we have a morphism  $\prod X_i \rightarrow \bigvee X_i$  from the usual coproduct, but this usually is not an isomorphism as we noted above.

Given a scheme  $Y$ , let  $\Sigma \subseteq Y$  be a set of points. Define

$$\beta(\Sigma) = \text{Spec } \prod_{y \in \Sigma} k(y)$$

where  $k(y)$  are the residue fields. By proposition 1.1, the points of  $\beta(\Sigma)$  are necessarily closed, and they correspond to ultrafilters on  $\Sigma$ . As a topological space, this can be identified with the Stone-Ćech compactification  $\Sigma$ . The embedding  $\Sigma \subset \beta(\Sigma)$  maps a point to the associated principal ultrafilter. Nonprincipal ultrafilters give points on the boundary. In explicit terms, given an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  it extends to an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}' = \{S \in \beta(\Sigma) \mid S \cap \Sigma \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . This converges to a unique point  $\beta(\Sigma)$ .

Let  $\Sigma \subset \text{Clsd}(Y)$ . The residue field at an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\Sigma$  regarded as point of in  $\beta(\Sigma)$  is none other than the ultraproduct  $k(\mathcal{U}) = \prod k(y)/\mathcal{U}$ . Let

$$Y_{\mathcal{U}} = \text{Spec } k(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \beta(\Sigma) \rightarrow \beta(Y)$$

be the corresponding map of schemes.

**Lemma 1.3.** *If  $Y$  is separated, there is a canonical morphism  $\iota : \beta(Y) \rightarrow Y$  induced by the canonical homomorphism*

$$A \rightarrow \prod_{m \in \text{Spec } A} k(m).$$

*on any affine open set  $\text{Spec } A \subset Y$ .*

*Proof.* This follows immediately by choosing an affine open cover.  $\square$

We will call a subset  $\Sigma \subset Y$  separating if  $\beta(\Sigma) \rightarrow Y$  is injective on structure sheaves. For example, if  $Y$  is separating when it is reduced, and the set of closed points  $\text{Clsd}(Y)$  is separating if  $Y$  is Jacobson.

Let us call an ultrafilter on  $\Sigma$ , or corresponding point of  $\beta(\Sigma)$ , *pseudo-generic* if it contains all nonempty opens of  $\Sigma$  with respect to the topology induced from the Zariski topology on  $Y$ . Such ultrafilters clearly exist by Zorn's lemma. Pseudo-generic points will play a role of generic points.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Suppose that  $Y$  is integral and that  $\beta(\Sigma) \subseteq Y$  is separating. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a generic ultrafilter. Then  $k(\mathcal{U})$  contains the function field  $K(Y)$ . If  $Y' \subset Y$  is a nonempty open subscheme then  $Y_{\mathcal{U}} \cong Y'_{\mathcal{U}'}$  where  $\mathcal{U}' = \{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid U \subseteq Y' \cap \Sigma\}$ .*

*Proof.* We can reduce immediately to the case where  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$ , with  $A$  a domain. By assumption the canonical map  $\psi : A \rightarrow \prod_{m \in \Sigma} A/m$  is injective. As already noted,  $L = (\prod_{m \in \Sigma} k(m))/\mathcal{U}$  is a field. An element  $a \in A$  maps to zero in  $L$  if and only if  $U_1 = \{m \mid a \in m\} \in \mathcal{U}$ . On the other hand, the complement  $U_2 = \{m \mid a \notin m\}$  is open. If it is nonempty, then it would lie in  $\mathcal{U}$  leading to the impossible conclusion that  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{U}$ . Thus  $U_2 = \emptyset$  which implies that  $\psi(a) = 0$  and therefore  $a = 0$ . Thus  $L$  contains  $A$  and consequently its field of fractions  $K(Y)$ .

For the second part, we can check immediately that  $\mathcal{U}'$  is an ultrafilter on  $\Sigma' = Y \cap \Sigma$ , and that projection

$$\prod_{y \in \Sigma} k(y) \rightarrow \prod_{y \in \Sigma'} k(y)$$

is an isomorphism modulo  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{U}'$ . □

Note that the field  $k(\mathcal{U})$  is usually much bigger than  $k(Y)$ .

Given a collection of fields  $k_s$  indexed by  $S$ , and  $k_s$ -schemes  $X_s$ , we define their ultraproduct  $\bigvee X_s/\mathcal{U}$  by the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigvee X_s/\mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & \bigvee X_s \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Spec}(\prod k_s/\mathcal{U}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spec} \prod k_s \end{array}$$

for any ultrafilter on  $S$ . It would more appropriate to call this the ultra-coproduct, but we have chosen to be consistent with earlier usage. The ultraproduct is clearly functorial in the obvious sense. Note that this construction makes sense even when  $\mathcal{U}$  is a filter, and we will occasionally use it in the more general setting. In this case the base may no longer be a field.

When  $X_s = \operatorname{Spec} A_s$  are affine, we see that

$$\bigvee X_s/\mathcal{U} \cong \operatorname{Spec}(\prod A_s \otimes_{\prod k_s} \prod k_s/\mathcal{U}) \cong \operatorname{Spec}(\prod A_s/\mathcal{U})$$

It is easy to see from this, that our ultraproduct coincides with the identically named notion defined by Schoutens [S2, §2.6].

Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of schemes. Let  $X_y$  denote the fibre over  $y \in Y$ , then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigvee_{y \in Y} X_y & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \beta(Y) & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

We thus get a morphism  $\bigvee X_y \rightarrow \beta(Y) \times_Y X$  which is generally *not* an isomorphism. To see this, let  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$  and  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A[x]$ . Then the morphism corresponds to the injective map of algebras

$$(\prod k(m))[x] \rightarrow \prod (k(m)[x])$$

which is not surjective unless  $\operatorname{Spec} A$  is finite.

Given an ultrafilter or even just a filter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\Sigma \subseteq \text{Clsd}(Y)$ , we define the *ultra-fibre* over  $\mathcal{U}$  by

$$X_{\mathcal{U}} = Y_{\mathcal{U}} \times_{\beta(Y)} \bigvee_{y \in \Sigma} X_y = \bigvee_{y \in \Sigma} X_y / \mathcal{U}$$

It will be useful to view the ultra-fibre as a kind of enhanced fibre. We have a morphism to the usual fibre  $\pi : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{U}} \times_Y X$ . For a principal filter corresponding to  $y \in Y$ , it is easy to see that this gives an isomorphism  $X_{\mathcal{U}} \cong X_y$ . From now on, we will assume that  $Y, \Sigma$  satisfy the assumptions of lemma 1.4 and that  $\mathcal{U}$  is pseudo-generic. Then  $Y_{\mathcal{U}} \times_Y X = Y_{\mathcal{U}} \times_{\text{Spec } K(Y)} X_{\eta}$  is the generic fibre  $X_{\eta} = \text{Spec } K(Y) \times_Y X$  followed by an extension of scalars. The map  $\pi$  is usually not an isomorphism. The ultra-fibre carries more structure. Any collection of endomorphisms  $X_y \rightarrow X_y$  gives rise to an endomorphism of  $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ . For example, if the residue fields of the points in  $\Sigma$  have finite characteristic, we get a Frobenius morphism  $Fr : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{U}}$  by assembling the usual  $\text{char}(k(y))$ -power Frobenius maps on the components  $X_y$ .

Given a collection of separated  $k_s$ -schemes  $X_s$  and (quasi)coherent sheaves  $\mathcal{F}_s$  on  $X_s$ . We define the (quasi)coherent sheaf  $\bigvee \mathcal{F}_s / \mathcal{U}$  as the pullback of  $\bigvee \mathcal{F}_s$  to  $\bigvee X_s / \mathcal{U}$ , for any (ultra)filter  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** *Set  $X = \bigvee X_s / \mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \bigvee \mathcal{F}_s / \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong \prod H^i(X_s, \mathcal{F}_s) / \mathcal{U}$  for any filter  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* Choose affine open covers  $\{U_{i,s}\}$  for each  $X_s$ . Then we can compute  $H^i(X_s, \mathcal{F}_s)$  as the  $i$ th cohomology of the Čech complex  $C_s^{\bullet} = \check{C}^{\bullet}(\{U_{i,s}\}, \mathcal{F}_s)$ . Similarly  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$  is the cohomology of

$$C^{\bullet} = \check{C}^{\bullet}(\bigvee_s U_{i,s} / \mathcal{U}, \bigvee_s \mathcal{F}_s / \mathcal{U}) = \prod_s C_s^{\bullet} \otimes_{\prod k_s} \prod k_s / \mathcal{U}$$

Since modules over a product of fields are flat, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(C^{\bullet}) &\cong H^i(\prod C_s^{\bullet} \otimes \prod k_s / \mathcal{U}) \\ &\cong \prod H^i(C_s^{\bullet}) \otimes \prod k_s / \mathcal{U} \\ &\cong \prod H^i(X_s, \mathcal{F}_s) / \mathcal{U} \end{aligned}$$

□

The cohomology groups  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$  may be infinite dimensional, even when the sheaves  $\mathcal{F}_s$  are coherent and the schemes are proper. However, we can assign a generalized dimension  $\dim H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_s) \in \prod \mathbb{N} / \mathcal{U}$ .

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism to an integral scheme with  $\Sigma \subset Y$  satisfying the assumptions of lemma 1.4. Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a pseudo-generic ultrafilter. Then we have a canonical map  $\pi' : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow X_{\eta}$  to the generic fibre.

**Lemma 1.6.** *Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is projective, and  $Y$  is noetherian. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent sheaf of  $X_{\eta}$ , then  $H^i(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \pi'^* \mathcal{F}) \cong H^i(X_{\eta}, \mathcal{F}) \otimes k(\mathcal{U})$ .*

*Proof.* After shrinking  $X$  and  $Y$  if necessary, we can assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is the restriction of a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}'$  on  $X$  and that  $Y = \text{Spec } A$ . Thanks to the semicontinuity theorem, c.f. [H, III 12.11], by shrinking further, we can assume that the cohomology of  $\mathcal{F}$  commutes with base change which means that  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}')$  is a free  $A$ -module such

that  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}') \otimes k(y) \cong H^i(X_y, \mathcal{F}'|_{X_y})$  for all  $i$  and all (not necessarily closed)  $y \in Y$ . By lemma 1.5,

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \pi'^* \mathcal{F}) &\cong \prod H^i(X_y, \mathcal{F}'|_{X_y})/\mathcal{U} \\ &\cong \prod H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A k(y)/\mathcal{U} \\ &\cong H^i(X, \mathcal{F}') \otimes_A k(\mathcal{U}) \\ &\cong H^i(X, \mathcal{F}') \otimes_A K(Y) \otimes_{K(Y)} k(\mathcal{U}) \\ &\cong H^i(X_{\eta}, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{K(Y)} k(\mathcal{U}) \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us call a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X_{\mathcal{U}}$  *standard* if it is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}'_{\mathcal{U}} := \pi'^* \mathcal{F}'$  for some coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}'$  on  $X_{\eta}$ , where  $\pi' : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow X_{\eta}$  is the canonical map.

**Corollary 1.7.** *A standard coherent sheaf on  $X_{\mathcal{U}}$  has finite dimensional cohomology.*

A map of standard sheaves will be called standard if it is the pullback of a map of sheaves on  $X_{\eta}$ . The category of standard sheaves and maps is equivalent to the category of coherent sheaves on  $X_{\eta}$  thanks to:

**Theorem 1.8** (Van den Dries-Schmidt). *If  $X \rightarrow Y$  is locally of finite type and  $\mathcal{U}$  an ultrafilter, then  $\pi : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{U}} \times_Y X$  is faithfully flat.*

*Proof.* Since  $\pi$  is evidently affine, this follows from the version given in [S1, 3.1]. □

Standard ideal sheaves on projective space can be described quite explicitly. The ring  $\prod k_s[x_0, \dots, x_n]/\mathcal{U}$  is graded by the monoid  $\prod \mathbb{N}/\mathcal{U}$ . A homogeneous ideal  $I \subset \prod k_s[x_0, \dots, x_n]/\mathcal{U}$  with respect to this grading determines a family of homogeneous ideals  $I_s \subset k_s[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  and therefore a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{I} = \prod \tilde{I}_s/\mathcal{U}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}^n$ . Let us say that an element  $(f_s)$  of  $\prod k_s[x_0, \dots, x_n]/\mathcal{U}$  has finite degree if there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\deg f_s \leq d$  for almost all  $s$ .

**Lemma 1.9.**  *$\mathcal{I}$  is standard coherent if  $I$  is generated by a finite set of elements with finite degrees.*

*Proof.* Observe that we have an embedding

$$(\prod k_s/\mathcal{U})[x_0 \dots x_n] \subset \prod (k_s[x_0, \dots, x_n])/\mathcal{U}$$

under which elements on the left can be identified with finite degree elements. Thus the generators of  $I$  are polynomials. Therefore  $I$  is the extension of  $J = I \cap (\prod k_s/\mathcal{U})[x_0 \dots x_n]$  to the bigger ring, and the same goes for its localizations. This implies that  $\mathcal{I}$  is the pullback of the ideal sheaf associated to  $J$ . □

As an easy application of all of this, we show that the cohomological complexity of a homogeneous ideal, as measured by the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, can be bounded by a function of the degrees of its generators. Although such results can be obtained more directly with effective bounds [BM, L], the proof here is quite short. For other bounds in ideal theory obtained in the same spirit, see [DS]. Given an ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ , let  $I = \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{I}(i))$  denote the corresponding ideal and  $d(I)$  the smallest integer such that  $I$  is generated by homogeneous polynomials of degree at most  $d(I)$ .

**Lemma 1.10** (Bayer-Mumford). *Given  $d, n, i, m$  there exists a constant  $C$  such for any field  $k$  and any ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  with  $d(\mathcal{I}) = d$ , we have  $h^i(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{I}(m)) < C$ . In particular, the regularity of  $\mathcal{I}$  is uniformly bounded by a constant depending only on  $d(\mathcal{I})$  and  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the lemma is false. Then there is an infinite sequence of examples  $\mathcal{I}_s, k_s$  such that  $d(\mathcal{I}_s) = d$  but  $h^i(\mathcal{I}_s(m)) \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{I} = \coprod \mathcal{I}_s / \mathcal{U}$  will have infinite dimensional cohomology for any non principal ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let  $N = \binom{n+d+1}{d+1}$ . By allowing repetitions if necessary, for each  $s$  we can list generators  $f_{1,s}, \dots, f_{N,s} \in k_s[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  with degrees  $\leq d$  for the ideals  $\mathcal{I}_s$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{I}_s$ . Then the sequences  $(f_{i,s})$  generate the ideal  $I$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{I}$ . By the previous lemma  $\mathcal{I}$  is standard. This implies that the cohomology is finite dimensional, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 2. $F$ -AMPLITUDE

For the remainder of this paper, we fix a filter  $\mathcal{L}$  on the set of prime numbers  $\Sigma$  such that for any  $p \in \Sigma$ , there exist  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  not containing  $p$ . The last condition ensures that any ultrafilter containing  $\mathcal{L}$  is necessarily non principal. The elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  are the large sets of primes in the introduction. We could take for  $\mathcal{L}$  the collection of cofinite subsets, or the filter generated by complements of subsets of zero Dirichlet density. Let  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma = \prod \bar{F}_p$  be the product of algebraic closures of finite fields. The ultraproduct  $k(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma / \mathcal{U}$ , for any  $\mathcal{U} \supset \mathcal{L}$ , is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero with cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ . Therefore there is a noncanonical isomorphism  $k(\mathcal{U}) \cong \mathbb{C}$  which we fix for the discussion below.

Suppose that  $k$  is a field of characteristic 0. We can assume without essential loss of generality that it is embedable into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $A(k)$  be the set of finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras contained in  $k$ . For each  $A \in A(k)$ , choose a separating family of maximal ideals  $m_p \in \text{Max}(A)$  with embeddings  $A/m_p \subset \bar{F}_p$ . We assume that these choices are compatible with the inclusions  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  (the existence of such compatible family is straightforward). Given an algebraic variety  $X$  (with a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ ) defined over  $k$ , a thickening of  $X$  (and  $\mathcal{F}$ ) over  $A \in A(k)$  is a flat morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  (with an  $A$ -flat coherent sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ ) such that  $X \cong \text{Spec } k \times_{\text{Spec } A} \mathcal{X}$  (and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the restriction of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ ). Then for any filter  $\mathcal{U} \supseteq \mathcal{L}$ , we can form the ultrafibre  $\mathcal{X}_\mathcal{U}$  after identifying  $\Sigma$  with the set of  $m_p$ . Since this is independent of the thickening, we denote it by  $X_\mathcal{U}$ . Ditto for  $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{U}$ . As explained earlier, there is a map  $\pi : X_\mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$  (such that  $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{U}$  is the pullback of  $\mathcal{F}$ ). Given  $N = (N_p) \in \prod \mathbb{N} / \mathcal{U}$ , let  $Fr^N = X_\mathcal{U} \rightarrow X_\mathcal{U}$  be the morphism given by the  $p^{N_p}$ th power Frobenius on  $X_{m_p}$ .

We recall the original definition of Frobenius or  $F$ -amplitude from [A1]. We will denote it by  $\phi_{old}$  to differentiate it from a variant  $\phi$  defined below. Given a locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on a variety  $X$  defined over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $\phi_{old}(\mathcal{F})$  is the smallest natural number such that for any coherent  $\mathcal{E}$ ,

$$H^i(X, Fr^{N*}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$$

for  $i > \phi_{old}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $N \gg 0$ . In this case, we set  $\phi(\mathcal{F}) = \phi_{old}(\mathcal{F})$ . In characteristic 0,  $\phi_{old}$  was defined using reduction modulo  $p$ :

$$\phi_{old}(\mathcal{F}) = \min_{(\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})} \left( \max_m \phi(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{\mathcal{X}_m}) \right)$$

where we maximize over all closed fibres of a thickening  $(\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  of  $(X, \mathcal{F})$ , and then minimize over all thickenings. The idea is take the worst case of  $\phi$  among all fibres of the best possible thickening. It is easy to see that for any thickening,

$$\phi_{old}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \phi(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{\mathcal{X}_{m_p}})$$

for all but finitely  $p$  in  $\Sigma$ . We *redefine Frobenius amplitude* in characteristic 0 as the smallest integer for which

$$\phi(\mathcal{F}) \geq \phi(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{\mathcal{X}_{m_p}})$$

holds for almost all  $p$  with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.**

- (1) For any locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\phi(\mathcal{F}) \leq \phi_{old}(\mathcal{F})$ .
- (2)  $\phi(\mathcal{F})$  is the smallest integer such that for any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{L}}$ , there exists  $N_0 \in \prod \mathbb{N}/\mathcal{L}$  such that

$$H^i(X_{\mathcal{L}}, Fr^{N*}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$$

for  $i > \phi(\mathcal{F})$  and  $N \geq N_0$ . (We are suppressing  $\pi^*$  above to simplify notation.)

- (3)  $\phi(\mathcal{F})$  is the smallest integer such that for any ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U} \supset \mathcal{L}$  and any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}}$ , there exists  $N_0 \in \prod \mathbb{N}/\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$H^i(X_{\mathcal{U}}, Fr^{N*}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$$

for  $i > \phi(\mathcal{F})$  and  $N \geq N_0$ .

*Proof.* (1) is immediate from the definition. (2) follows from lemma 1.5. For (3), it is enough observe that for any family of vector spaces  $V_p$ ,

$$\prod V_p/\mathcal{L} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \prod V_p/\mathcal{U} = 0, \forall \mathcal{U} \supset \mathcal{L}$$

□

We use the lemma to extend this notion to a bounded complex of coherent sheaves  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ :  $\phi(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$  is the smallest integer such that for any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}}$ ,

$$H^i(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbb{L}Fr^{N*}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{E}) = 0$$

for  $i > \phi(\mathcal{F})$  and  $N \gg 0$ . Note that  $Fr^N \circ \pi : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow X$  need not be flat when  $X$  is singular, so to get a reasonable notion we are forced to take derived functors. The following is immediate.

**Lemma 2.2.** For any distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_3^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1^\bullet[1]$$

$$\phi(\mathcal{F}_2^\bullet) \leq \max(\phi(\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet), \phi(\mathcal{F}_3^\bullet))$$

### 3. $F$ -SPLIT COMPLEXES

Suppose for the moment that  $X$  is a scheme in characteristic  $p > 0$  or an ultrafibre, so that  $X$  possesses a Frobenius morphism  $Fr$ . Let  $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, F)$  be a bounded filtered complex of sheaves on  $X$  with a finite filtration. By a *Frobenius* or *F-splitting*, we mean a diagram of quasi-isomorphisms

$$\bigoplus_i Gr_F^i \mathcal{C}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} K^\bullet \xleftarrow{\sigma_2} Fr_* \mathcal{C}^\bullet$$

or equivalently a representative for an isomorphism

$$\sigma : \bigoplus_i Gr_F^i \mathcal{C}^\bullet \cong Fr_* \mathcal{C}^\bullet$$

in the derived category. A filtered complex is called  $F$ -split if it possesses an  $F$ -splitting. We make the collection of filtered complexes with  $F$ -splittings into a category with morphisms given by a morphism of filtered complexes  $(\mathcal{C}_1, F_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, F_2)$  together with a compatible commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bigoplus_i Gr_F^i \mathcal{C}_1^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\sim} & K_1 & \xleftarrow{\sim} & Fr_* \mathcal{C}_1^\bullet \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_i Gr_F^i \mathcal{C}_2^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\sim} & K_2 & \xleftarrow{\sim} & Fr_* \mathcal{C}_2^\bullet \end{array}$$

When  $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, F)$  is defined on a variety  $X$  over a field of characteristic zero, an  $F$ -splitting will mean an  $F$ -splitting of its pullback to  $X_{\mathcal{L}}$ .

The obvious question is how do  $F$ -split complexes arise in nature. In answer, we propose the following vague slogan: *Complexes  $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, F)$  arising from the Hodge theory of varieties in characteristic zero, with  $F$  corresponding to the Hodge filtration, ought to be  $F$ -split.* Since the objects of Hodge theory are usually highly transcendental, we should qualify this by restricting to complexes of geometric origin. However, we prefer not to try to make this too precise, but instead to keep it as guiding principle in the search for interesting examples. We begin with the basic example due to Deligne and Illusie [DI]:

**Theorem 3.1** (Deligne-Illusie). *Let  $X$  be a smooth variety with a normal crossing divisor  $D$  defined over a perfect field of characteristic  $p > \dim X$ . Suppose that  $(X, D)$  lifts mod  $p^2$ . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\sigma_X : \bigoplus_i \Omega_X^i(\log D)[-i] \cong Fr_* \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)$$

in the derived category which depends canonically on the mod  $p^2$  lift of  $(X, D)$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $(X, D)$  is as above, or defined over a field of characteristic 0, the logarithmic de Rham complex  $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)$  with its stupid filtration,  $F^i = \Omega_X^{\geq i}(\log D)$  is  $F$ -split.*

The functoriality statement given in the theorem is not good enough for our purposes. The isomorphism  $\sigma_X$  is realised explicitly as a map  $\tilde{\sigma}_X$  from  $\bigoplus \Omega_X^i(\log D)[-i]$  to a sheafified Čech complex  $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\{U_j\}, Fr_* \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D))$  with respect to an affine open cover of  $X$ . In addition to the cover, it depends on mod  $p^2$  lift of  $(X, D)$  and mod  $p^2$  lifts of  $Fr|_{U_j}$ . It is clear that given any morphism  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ , with  $D_1 \supseteq f^{-1}D_2$ , which lifts mod  $p^2$ , that compatible choices can be made. Then from the formulas in [DI], we see that we get a morphism of  $F$ -split complexes extending the natural map  $\Omega_{X_2}^\bullet(\log D_2) \rightarrow f^* \Omega_{X_1}^\bullet(\log D_1)$ .

An additional example of an  $F$ -split complex, consistent with the earlier principle, is provided by a theorem of Illusie [I, 4.7] which implies:

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper semistable map with discriminant  $E \subset Y$  defined over a field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Let  $H = R^i f_* \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(\log D)$  be*

a Hodge bundle with filtration  $F^j = R^i f_* \Omega_{X/Y}^{\geq j}(\log D)$ , where  $D = f^{-1}E$ . If  $\nabla$  denotes the Gauss-Manin connection, then the complex

$$H \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_X^1(\log E) \otimes H \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_X^2(\log E) \otimes H \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots$$

with filtration

$$F^j \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_X^1(\log E) \otimes F^{j-1} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots$$

is  $F$ -split.

Further examples of  $F$ -split complexes can be built from simpler pieces using mapping cones. More generally, given a bounded complex

$$(\mathcal{C}^{\bullet,0}, F) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^{\bullet,1}, F) \rightarrow \dots$$

of  $F$ -split complexes, we can form the total complex

$$Tot(\mathcal{C}^{\bullet,\bullet})^i = \bigoplus_{j+k=i} \mathcal{C}^{jk}$$

in the usual way with filtration

$$F^p Tot(\mathcal{C}^{\bullet,\bullet})^i = \bigoplus_{j+k=i} F^p \mathcal{C}^{jk}$$

Together with the diagram

$$Tot\left(\bigoplus Gr^\bullet(\mathcal{C}^{\bullet,\bullet})\right) \rightarrow Tot(K^{\bullet,\bullet}) \leftarrow Fr_* Tot(\mathcal{C}^{\bullet,\bullet})$$

this becomes an  $F$ -split complex.

Let us call a filtered complex  $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, F)$  coherent if it is a bounded complex of quasi-coherent sheaves such that the differentials are differential operators and  $Gr_F \mathcal{C}^\bullet$  is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of coherent sheaves with  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear differentials. For example,  $(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), \Omega_X^{\geq i}(\log D))$  is coherent. A slight refinement of the arguments of Deligne and Illusie yields:

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be complete variety in positive characteristic or the ultra-fibre of a complete variety in characteristic zero. Suppose that  $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, F)$  is a coherent  $F$ -split complex on  $X$ . Then*

- (1) *The spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{ij} = H^{i+j}(X, Gr_F^i \mathcal{C}^\bullet) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, \mathcal{C}^\bullet)$$

*degenerates at  $E_1$*

- (2) *For any bounded complex of locally free sheaves  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ ,*

$$H^i(X, Gr_F^j \mathcal{C}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$$

*for any  $j$  and  $i > m + \phi(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ , where  $m = \max\{\iota \mid \mathcal{H}^\iota(Gr_F^j \mathcal{C}^\bullet) \neq 0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $E_\infty$  is a subquotient of  $E_1$ , to prove  $E_1 \cong E_\infty$  it is enough to prove equality of dimensions. The morphism  $Fr$  is affine, by definition in the first case and because it is an ultraproduct of affine morphisms in the second. Therefore  $R^i Fr_* Gr_F^j \mathcal{C}^\bullet = 0$  for  $i > 0$ , which implies  $\mathbb{R} Fr_* \mathcal{C}^\bullet = Fr_* \mathcal{C}^\bullet$ . Thus

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{C}^\bullet) \cong H^j(X, Fr_* \mathcal{C}^\bullet) \cong \bigoplus_i H^j(X, Gr_F^i \mathcal{C}^\bullet)$$

which forces  $\dim E_1 = \dim E_\infty$  and proves (1).

By definition of  $\phi$

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{H}^k(Gr_F^j \mathcal{C}^\bullet) \otimes Fr^{N*} \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$$

for  $i > \phi(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ , all  $j$  and  $N \gg 0$ . So by a standard spectral sequence argument,

$$H^i(X, Gr_F^j \mathcal{C}^\bullet \otimes Fr^{N*} \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$$

for  $i > m + \phi(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$  and  $N \gg 0$ . So (2) is consequence of the sublemma:

If  $H^i(X, Gr_F^j \mathcal{C}^\bullet \otimes Fr^* \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$  for all  $j$ , then  $H^i(X, Gr_F^j \mathcal{C}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$  for all  $j$ .

*Proof.* The assumption forces  $H^i(X, \mathcal{C}^\bullet \otimes Fr^* \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$ . On the other hand, the projection formula and existence of an  $F$ -splitting implies

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{C}^\bullet \otimes Fr^* \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \cong H^i(X, (Fr_* \mathcal{C}^\bullet) \otimes \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \cong \bigoplus_j H^i(X, Gr_F^j \mathcal{C}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$$

□

This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

From this we recover the key degeneration of spectral sequence and vanishing theorems of [DI], [I], [A1] and [A2]

#### 4. SPLITTING OF THE DU BOIS COMPLEX

Our goal is to prove the basic vanishing theorem for singular varieties. The right replacement for differential forms in the Hodge theory of such spaces was found by Du Bois [Du]. Given a complex algebraic variety  $X$ , Du Bois constructed a filtered complex  $(\underline{\underline{\Omega}}_X^\bullet, F)$  of sheaves, such that

- (1) The complex is unique up to filtered quasi-isomorphism. In other words, it is well defined in the filtered derived category  $DF(X)$
- (2) There exists a map of complexes from the de Rham complex with the stupid filtration  $(\Omega_X^\bullet, \Omega_X^{\geq p})$  to  $(\underline{\underline{\Omega}}_X^\bullet, F^p)$ . This is a filtered quasi-isomorphism when  $X$  is smooth.
- (3) The complexes  $\underline{\underline{\Omega}}_X^i = Gr_F^i \underline{\underline{\Omega}}_X^\bullet[i]$  gives well defined objects in the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves  $D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{O}_X)$ . (The shift is chosen so that  $\underline{\underline{\Omega}}_X^i = \Omega_X^i$  when  $X$  is smooth.)
- (4) The associated analytic sheaves  $\underline{\underline{\Omega}}_X^{\bullet, an}$  resolves  $\mathbb{C}$ . When  $X$  is complete, the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{ab} = H^b(X, \underline{\underline{\Omega}}_X^a) \Rightarrow H^{a+b}(X^{an}, \mathbb{C})$$

degenerates at  $E_1$  and abuts to the Hodge filtration for the canonical mixed Hodge structure on the right.

This can be refined for pairs [Du, §6]. If  $Z \subset X$  is a closed set with dense complement, there exists a filtered complex  $(\underline{\underline{\Omega}}_X(\log Z), F) \in DF(X)$  such that  $\underline{\underline{\Omega}}_X^i(\log Z) = Gr_F^i \underline{\underline{\Omega}}_X(\log Z)[i] \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{O}_X)$  and there is a spectral sequence

$$(1) \quad E_1^{ab} = H^b(X, \underline{\underline{\Omega}}_X^a(\log D)) \Rightarrow H^{a+b}((X - Z)^{an}, \mathbb{C})$$

which degenerates when  $X$  is complete.

At the heart of the construction is cohomological descent (cf [De, GNPP, PS]), which is a refinement of Čech theory. Using resolution of singularities one can construct a diagram

$$\cdots \rightrightarrows X_1 \xrightleftharpoons[\delta_1]{\delta_0} X_0 \longrightarrow X$$

such that  $X_i$  are smooth, the usual simplicial identities hold, and cohomological descent is satisfied. The last condition means that the cohomology of any sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  can be computed on  $X_\bullet$  as follows. A simplicial sheaf is a collection of sheaves  $\mathcal{F}_i$  on  $X_i$  with maps  $\delta_j^* \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ . We define  $\Gamma(X_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet) = \ker[\delta_0^* - \delta_1^* : \Gamma(\mathcal{F}_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{F}_1)]$  and  $H^i(X_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet) = R^i \Gamma(X_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ . If  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is replaced by a resolution by injective simplicial sheaves  $\mathcal{I}_\bullet^\bullet$  then  $H^i(X_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$  is just the cohomology of the total complex

$$Tot(\Gamma(\mathcal{I}_0^\bullet) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{I}_1^\bullet) \rightarrow \cdots)$$

The pullback of  $\mathcal{F}$  gives a simplicial sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$  on  $X_\bullet$ , and the descent condition requires that  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^i(X_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ . It is important for our purposes to note that the diagram  $X_\bullet$  can be assumed finite, in fact with the bound  $\dim X_i \leq \dim X - i$ , thanks to [GNPP]. Also if a closed set  $Z \subset X$  is given, then one can construct a simplicial resolution such that preimage of  $Z$  on each  $X_i$  is a divisor with normal crossings.

We recall the construction of Du Bois's complex. Choose a smooth simplicial scheme  $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow X$  as above. Then  $(\Omega_{X_\bullet}^\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet}^{\geq \bullet})$  gives a filtered complex of simplicial sheaves on  $X_\bullet$ . By modifying the procedure for defining cohomology described above, we can form higher direct images for such objects. One then sets

$$(2) \quad (\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet, F^\bullet) = \mathbb{R}f_{\bullet*}(\Omega_{X_\bullet}^\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet}^{\geq \bullet})$$

and in the “log” case

$$(3) \quad (\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet(\log Z), F^\bullet) = \mathbb{R}f_{\bullet*}(\Omega_{X_\bullet}^\bullet(\log f_\bullet^{-1}Z), \dots)$$

It follows that

$$\underline{\Omega}_X^i = \mathbb{R}f_{\bullet*} \Omega_{X_\bullet}^i = Tot(\mathbb{R}f_{0*} \Omega_{X_0}^i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}f_{1*} \Omega_{X_1}^i \rightarrow \cdots)$$

In particular, from Grauert-Riemenschneider's vanishing theorem and the dimension bound, we get an elementary description of the top level

$$\underline{\Omega}_X^n = f_{0*} \Omega_{X_0}^n, \quad n = \dim X$$

In fact, this formula holds when  $f_0$  is replaced by a resolution of singularities [GNPP, p 153].

In positive characteristic, de Jong's results [J] on smooth alterations can be used to construct a smooth simplicial scheme  $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$  satisfying descent. However, this is not good enough to guarantee a well defined Du Bois complex. In our case, we can avoid these problems by applying (2) and (3) to the mod  $p \gg 0$  fibres of a thickening  $\mathcal{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \supset \mathcal{Z}$  of a simplicial resolution of complex varieties. Equivalently, we can work with the ultra-fibres  $X_{\bullet, \mathcal{U}} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{U}} \supset Z_{\mathcal{U}}$ . The following is suggested by the principle enunciated in the last section.

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $X$  is defined over a field of characteristic 0, then  $(\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet(\log Z), F)$  is  $F$ -split.*

*Proof.* We have to show that

$$\bigoplus_i \underline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}_p}^i(\log \mathcal{Z}_p)[-i] \cong Fr_* \underline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}_p}^\bullet(\log \mathcal{Z}_p)$$

for  $p \gg 0$ . For  $p$  is large,  $f : \mathcal{X}_{\bullet,p} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_p$  is a smooth simplicial scheme. Then from theorem 3.1 and the remarks following it, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_i \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\bullet,p}}^i(\log \mathcal{Z}_p)[-i] \cong Fr_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\bullet,p}}^\bullet(\log \mathcal{Z}_p)$$

of simplicial sheaves. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_i \underline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}_p}^i(\log \mathcal{Z}_p)[-i] &\cong \bigoplus_i \mathbb{R}f_{\bullet*} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\bullet,p}}^i(\log \mathcal{Z}_p)[-i] \\ &\cong \mathbb{R}f_{\bullet*} Fr_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_p}^\bullet(\log \mathcal{Z}_p) \\ &\cong Fr_* \mathbb{R}f_{\bullet*} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_p}^\bullet(\log \mathcal{Z}_p) \\ &\cong Fr_* \underline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}_p}^\bullet(\log \mathcal{Z}_p) \end{aligned}$$

□

As a corollary we can reprove Du Bois' result.

**Corollary 4.2.** *When  $X$  is complete the spectral sequence (1) degenerates.*

*Proof.* Apply theorem 3.4. □

**Corollary 4.3.** *If  $X$  is a complete complex variety and  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  a bounded complex of locally free sheaves, then*

$$H^i(X, \underline{\Omega}_X^j(\log Z) \otimes \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$$

for  $i + j > \dim X + \phi(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $k$ -ample vector bundle in Sommese's sense, then  $H^i(X, \underline{\Omega}_X^j(\log Z) \otimes \mathcal{F})$  vanishes for  $i + j \geq \dim X + rk(\mathcal{F}) + k$ .

*Proof.* The first statement follows from theorem 3.4. For the second, we can appeal to the estimates on  $\phi$  proved in [A1, 6.1] and [A2, 2.13, 5.17]. □

The special case of the last result for ample line bundles is due to Navarro Aznar [GNPP, chap. V] when  $Z = \emptyset$ , and Kovacs [Kv] in general.

## REFERENCES

- [A1] D. Arapura, *Frobenius amplitude and strong vanishing for vector bundles*, Duke Math. J. 121 (2004)
- [A2] D. Arapura, *Partial regularity and amplitude*, Amer. J. Math. 128 (2006)
- [BM] D. Bayer, D. Mumford, *What can be computed in algebraic geometry*, Comp. Alg. Geom. and Comm. Alg., Cambridge (1993)
- [BS] J. Bell, Slomson, *Models and ultraproducts*, North-Holland (1969)
- [De] P. Deligne, *Theorie de Hodge III*, Publ. Math. IHES 44 (1974)
- [DI] P. Deligne, L. Illusie, *Relevements modulo  $p^2$  et decomposition du complexe de de Rham*, Inv. Math. 89 (1987), 247-280
- [DS] L. van den Dries, K. Schmidt, *Bounds in theory of polynomial rings. A nonstandard approach*, Invent. Math (1984)
- [Du] P. Du Bois, *Complexe de de Rham filtré d'une variété singulière*. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 109 (1981)
- [EGAIV] A Grothendieck, J. Deudonné, *EGA IV*, Publ. Math. IHES 20, 24, 28, 32 (1964-67)
- [GNPP] F. Guillen, V. Navarro Aznar, P. Pascual-Gainza, F. Puerta. *Hyperrésolutions cubiques et descente cohomologique*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1335. Springer-Verlag, (1988)

- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag (1977)
- [J] A. J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations*. . Publ. Math. IHES 83 (1996)
- [I] L. Illusie, *Réduction semi-stable et décomposition de complexes de de Rham à coefficients*. Duke Math. J. 60 (1990)
- [Kv] S. Kovacs, *Logarithmic Vanishing Theorems and Arakelov-Parshin Boundedness for Singular Varieties* Compositio Math 131 (2002)
- [L] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry I*, Springer-Verlag (2004)
- [PS] C. Peters, J. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*, Springer-Verlag (2008)
- [S1] H. Schoutens, *Nonstandard tight closure for affine  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras*, Manuscripta Math. 111 (2003)
- [S2] H. Schoutens, *Log-terminal singularities and vanishing theorems via non-standard tight closure*, J. Alg. Geom. 14 (2005)
- [St] J. Steenbrink, *Vanishing theorems on singular spaces*. Differential systems and singularities. Astérisque 130 (1985)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907, U.S.A.